

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,443 11/24/		11/24/2003	Bernad H. vanBilderbeek	121947.0010.004	7125
30544	7590	06/09/2005		EXAMINER	
		ER, L.L.P.	GAY, JENNIFER HAWKINS		
SUITE 2100 112 EAST PECAN ST.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78205				3672	
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Commence	10/721,443	VANBILDERBEEK, BERNAD H.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jennifer H Gay	3672					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 April 2005.							
•	action is non-final.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4,11-40 and 44-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,31,32,36-40,47 and 48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11-30,33-35,44-46 and 49 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the changes made to the cross-referenced application data is objected to because U.S. Patent No. 6,092,596 was indicated as a continuation of U.S. Application No. 09/563,959. The Offices records indicate that U.S. Patent No. 6,092,596 is actually a divisional of U.S. Application No. 09/563,959 and the cross-reference application data should be amended to indicate this if U.S. Patent No. 6,092,596 is indeed a divisional of U.S. Application No. 09/563,959.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims1, 5-10, 41-43, and 49 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - > Claim 1, --being-- should be added after "an outer diameter and" in line 5.
 - ➤ Claims 5-10 and 41-43 are objected to because they were not given the proper claim heading. A cancelled claim should be indicated as such in the following manner, --5. (Cancelled)--; the body of the cancelled claim may or may not be included. The headings of claims 5-10 and 41-43 should be corrected in the next response to the Office.
 - ➤ Claim 49, --smaller-- should be added before "tubular member" in line 1.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 31, 32, 36, 38-40, 47, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Broussard (US 1,989,679, referred to hereafter as Brou).

Regarding claim 1: Brou discloses a releasable clamp for securing a smaller tubular member 20 having an inner and outer wall and of a first diameter axially disposed

Application/Control Number: 10/721,443

Art Unit: 3672

within a larger tubular member 19 having a first end, second end, a central axis, and inner and outer walls of a second, larger internal diameter (Figure 5). The clamp includes the following features:

- ➤ A peripheral member 11 having an inner diameter and an outer diameter and being disposed around the larger tubular member between the tubular member's first and second ends.
- A clamping device 26 in communication with the peripheral member for generating an axial force on the peripheral member for reducing the inner diameter of the peripheral member and the inner diameter of the larger tubular member for releasably engaging and securing the smaller tubular member. The examiner notes that, while it clear that the clamping device decreases the inner diameter of the larger tubular, the clamping device will also decrease the inner diameter of the peripheral member as the screw bolts of the device will draw in the peripheral member as they are tightened.

Regarding claims 2, 3: As seen in Figure 5, the peripheral member is mounted on and peripheral surrounds the outer wall of the larger tubular member.

Regarding claim 4: The clamping device is non-invasive (Figures 8-10).

Regarding claim 31: While not specifically disclosed, the peripheral member and thus the clamping device would be selectively movable along the axis of the larger tubular member until the peripheral member was in the desired position.

Regarding claim 32: The clamp further includes a holding device 29, 31 for securing the peripheral member and clamping device in the selected position.

Regarding claim 36: The clamp includes a seal 30 located between the smaller and larger tubulars.

Regarding claims 38, 39: The clamp includes a plurality of clamp assemblies or rings axially spaced along the outer periphery of the larger tubular.

Regarding claim 40: Brou discloses a method for clamping a smaller tubular member within a larger tubular member using the above clamp. The method involves the following steps:

Application/Control Number: 10/721,443

Art Unit: 3672

> Placing the smaller tubular member within the larger tubular member.

Page 4

- > Exerting a radially inward force on the exterior of the larger tubular member at a desired point.
- > Flexing the larger tubular member at that point inward slightly to cause a restriction in a portion of the inner diameter of the larger tubular member at that point.
- Clamping the smaller tubular member within the larger tubular member adjacent the restriction.

Regarding claims 47, 48: The method further involves first moving the peripheral member to a desired location along the larger tubular member and then applying the force.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brou in view of Wester (US 6,513,596).

Brou discloses all of the limitations of the above claims except for a strain gage being located on the inner wall of the smaller tubular member.

Wester discloses a casing hanger system and further teaches the use of strain gages within the system (2:26-35, 6:33-36).

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the clamp assembly of Brou to include a strain gage as taught by Wester in order to have provided a means for monitoring the pressure within the casing string and thus prevent hazardous pressure leaks (1:15-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,443 Page 5

Art Unit: 3672

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11-30, 33-35, 44-46, and 49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 8. In view of applicant's amendment, the objections the drawings, specification, and claims have been withdrawn.
- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 11-40, and 44-47 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer H Gay whose telephone number is (571) 272-7029. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00 and Friday, 6:30-1:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866,217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3672

JHG (// June 2, 2005